| | Case 3:10-cv-04864-JCS Document 12 | 2 Filed 01/16/13 Page 1 of 5 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| 1 2 | JEROME N. LERCH (CSB #48194) DEBRA STEEL STURMER (CSB #105276) LERCH STURMER LLP | | |
| 3 | 333 Bush Street, Ste. 2020 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 217-6340 Facsimile: (415) 217-2782 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Defendant Silicon Valley Law Group | | |
| 6 | MICHAEL S. DEVORKIN | | |
| 7 | JACQUELINE G. VEIT ALLYSON ALBERT | | |
| 8 | GOLENBOCK EISEMAN ASSOR BELL & PESKOE LLP 437 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022 | | |
| 9 | Telephone: 212-907-7300 Facsimile: 212-754-0330 | | |
| 11 | Email: mdevorkin@golenbock.com Email: jveit@golenbock.com | | |
| 12 | Email: aalbert@golenbock.com | | |
| 13 | Attorneys for the Plaintiff, Gerard A. McHale, Jr., P.A., Liquidation Trustee | | |
| | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 14 15 | | ES DISTRICT COURT | |
| | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 15 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors | | |
| 15 16 17 18 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a California Law Corporation, | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a California Law Corporation, Defendant. | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a California Law Corporation, Defendant. TRIAL STI | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO ROBERT McELROY | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a California Law Corporation, Defendant. TRIAL STI | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO ROBERT McELROY IPULATION NO. 2 | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GERARD A. MCHALE, Jr., P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust, Plaintiff, v. SILICON VALLEY LAW GROUP, a California Law Corporation, Defendant. TRIAL STI | CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO. CV10-4864 JW STIPULATION WITH RESPECT TO ROBERT McELROY IPULATION NO. 2 the deposition of Robert McElroy for August 29, | |

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties agree that the fees and expenses in connection with such a deposition can be avoided by entering into this stipulation with respect to certain documents; THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Mr. McElroy is, and in November 2006 was, a partner in the law firm McGuireWoods, Richmond, Virginia. In November 2006, Timothy Heaphy was also a partner at McGuireWoods and joined the firm in 2006. Mr. Heaphy was an assistant United States Attorney before joining McGuireWoods, and in 2010 left McGuireWoods to become the United States Attorney for the Western District of Virginia and still serves in that capacity.
- 2. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 678 (Heaphy 3) and marked with Bates No. MW 1335-1342 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6).
- 3. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 679 (Heaphy 4) and marked with Bates No. MW 0026 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6). With respect to the statements to Mr. McElroy recorded in Exhibit 2 (i) these are accurate statements of the statements to Mr. McElroy on the date indicated, and (ii) all parties reserve their rights as to the admission in evidence of the statements in Exhibit 2 made to Mr. McElroy as non-hearsay or an exception to the hearsay rule and as to the relevancy of the evidence, which are reserved for later determination by the Court.
- 4. The memorandum attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 680 (Heaphy 5) and marked with Bates No. MW 002-03 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6). With respect to the statements of Mr. Simring recorded in Exhibit 3 (i) these are accurate statements of Mr. Simring's statements to Mr. McElroy on November 21, 2006, and (ii) all parties reserve their rights as to the admission in evidence of Mr.

1

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

Simring's statements in Exhibit 3 as non-hearsay or an exception to the hearsay rule and as to the relevancy of the evidence, which are reserved for later determination by the Court.

- 5. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 4 and marked with Bates No. MW 0004 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6). With respect to the statements of Mr. Simring recorded in Exhibit 4 (i) these are accurate transcriptions of the telephone message left by Mr. Simring on November 21, 2006, and (ii) all parties reserve their rights as to the admission in evidence of Mr. Simring's statements in Exhibit 4 as non-hearsay or an exception to the hearsay rule, and to the relevancy of the evidence, which are reserved for later determination by the Court.
- 6. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 5 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 681 (Heaphy 6) and marked with Bates No. MW 1053-59 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6) to show what Mr. McElroy received from Eric Perkins on November 3, 2006. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to the admissibility of Exhibit 5 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later determination by the Court.
- 7. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 6 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 682 (Heaphy 7) and marked with Bates No. MW 00937-952 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6) to show what Mr. McElroy received from David Field on December 2, 2006. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to the admissibility of Exhibit 6 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later determination by the Court.
- 8. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 7 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 683 (Heaphy 8) and marked with Bates No. MW 00851-00887 is admissible as a business record

the admissibility of Exhibit 7 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later determination by the Court.

9. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 8 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 684 (Heaphy 9) and marked with Bates No. MW 0060-0066 is admissible as a business record

pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6) to show what Mr. McElroy received from David Field on

December 2, 2006. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to

on December 2, 2006. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to

pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6) to show what Mr. McElroy received from David Field on

the admissibility of Exhibit 8 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later

determination by the Court.

determination by the Court.

10. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 9 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 685 (Heaphy 10) and marked with Bates No. MW 0277-0298 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6) to show what Mr. McElroy received from David Field on on December 2, 2006. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to the admissibility of Exhibit 9 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later

11. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 10 and marked as Deposition Exhibit 335 and marked with Bates No. MW 1313-15 is admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6). Nothing in this stipulation is intended to waive any relevancy objection as to the admissibility of Exhibit 10 and the parties stipulate that those objections are reserved for later determination by the Court.

| 1 | 12. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 11 and marked as Deposition | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | Exhibit 367 and marked with Bates No. MW 0028 was received by Mr. McElroy on November 20 | | |
| 3 | or 21, 2006. | 트리아 (1. 115일) 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | 13. The document attached hereto as Exhibit 12 and marked as Deposition | | |
| 6 | Exhibit 336 was sent by Mr. McElroy to Mr. Okun on November 21, 2006, and is an accurate | | |
| 7 | record of statements made by Mr. McElroy and Mr. Perkins on November 21, 2006, and is | | |
| 8 | admissible as a business record pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 803 (5) and (6). | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 12 | DATED: January 14, 2013 LERCH STURMER LLP | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | By: /s/ Debra Sturmer | |
| 15 | | Jerome N. Lerch, Esq. Debra Steel Sturmer, Esq. | |
| 16 | | Attorneys for Defendant Silicon Valley Law Group | |
| 17 | // | | |
| 18 | / / | | |
| 19 | DATED: January 14, 2013 GOLENBOCK EISEMAN ASSOR | | |
| 20 | | BELL & PESKOE LLP | |
| 21 | | By: /s/ Michael Devorkin | |
| 22 | | Michael S. Devorkin, Esq. (pro hac vice) Jacqueline G. Veit, Esq. (pro hac vice) | |
| 23 | SINTES DISTRICT CO. | Allyson R. Albert, Esq. (pro hac vice) Attorneys for Plaintiff Gerard A. McHale, Jr., | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED | P.A., as Liquidation Trustee for the 1031 Debtors Liquidation Trust | |
| 25 | Dated: 1/16/13 Z Judge Joseph C. Spero | Deotors Enquirement Trust | |
| 26 | Judge Joseph Co. | | |
| 27 | THE OF CASE | | |
| 7) Q I | DISTRICT | | |
| 28 | 5 | .ATION | |

Case 3:10-cv-04864-JCS Document 122 Filed 01/16/13 Page 5 of 5